

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,768	11/20/2003	Jay C. Landsiedel	US20030359	8877	
173	7590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
WHIRLPO	OL PATENTS COMP	STINSON, FRANKIE L			
500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102 ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085		TE 102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
51. JOSEF1	1, 141 47003		1746		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i Via
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/717,768	LANDSIEDEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	<u>lovember 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12 and 28-31</u> is/ard	· ·		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 2-8,13-20,22-27 and 32-42 is/are reje	ected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received.	, , ,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •		
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/717,768

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 2-8, 13, 20, 22-27, 32, and 39-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Cress (U. S. Pat. No. 2,501,887) or Horwitz (U. S. Pat. No. 2,320,133) in view of Bargar (U. S. Pat. 1,997,849).

Re claims 40 and 42. Cress and Horwitz (see fig. 6) are each cited disclosing a dishwasher for washing dishes, comprising: a tub comprising a peripheral wall defining an (top) open-faced wash chamber; a door/cover for selectively closing the open-face of the wash chamber, a basket positionable within the wash chamber and comprising a bottom wall, a peripheral wall extending upwardly from the bottom wall to define an open-top utensil holding space, and multiple tines located in the utensil holding space for holding utensils placed in the basket for washing; a water spray assembly located within the wash chamber such that the water spray assembly sprays liquid into the utensil holding space through the bottom wall of the basket; at least one side sprayer located in the wash chamber such that the side sprayer sprays liquid laterally into the utensil holding space that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the adjustable utensil carrier located within the utensil holding space and adjustable relative to the bottom of the basket to form an inclination angle relative to the bottom wall of the basket, such that a utensil, supported by the adjustable utensil carrier, can be positioned such that a food contact surface of the utensil, is exposed to direct spray

Application/Control Number: 10/717,768

Art Unit: 1746

from both the water spray assembly and the at least one side sprayer. The patent to Bargar is cited disclosing an adjustable utensil carrier (60, see figs. 6 and 18-20) that is located within the utensil holding space and adjustable relative to the bottom of the basket to form an inclination angle relative to the bottom wall of the basket. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art provide either Cress or Horwitz, to include an utensil carrier as taught by Bargar, for the purpose of holding small dishes or articles near the outlet of the water spray assemblies. Re claims 4, 22 and 24, to have the inclination angle 35 degrees is of no patentable significance with respect to the angle as shown in Bargar. Re claim 3 and 23, Cress and Bargar disclose the basket as removable between a stored and load position. Re claim 5, Bargar disclose the generally perpendicular stored position (see fig 18). Re claims 6, 7, 25 and 26, Bargar discloses the rack pivoted/hinge to a peripheral wall as claimed. Re claims 8 and 27, Bargar discloses the rack as a wire frame. Re claims 13 and 28, no patentable distinction is deem to exist between the actuator as claimed and the (inherent) actuator as in Bargar. The actuators are deemed to be the functional equivalence of each other. Re claims20 and 29, to have the support space intended to support a "cooking" utensil is deem to be a statement of intended use. The utensil carrier in Cress and Horwitz, as proposedly modified, obviously can support any type utensil placed therein.

Page 3

3. Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard or the remarks on the applied references, namely the combination as suggested by the examiner in improper due to hindsight, please note that in the art it is old and well known to position the utensil in the basket and have the

Application/Control Number: 10/717,768 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

same adjusted for proper contact with the wash water, Bargar discloses that is old and well known to provide a basket movable basket for aligning the utensil with the flow of wash water. Thusly, given the teaching of Bargar, it is the examiner's position that on skilled in the art would readily align the utensil, with the was water flow.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In WIPO'375, note the basket means.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1746

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746